



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/647,540

08/26/2003

Milind R. Naphade

YOR920030316US1

2284

21254

7590

09/16/2008

MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD
SUITE 200
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817

EXAMINER

LIEW, ALEX KOK SOON

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/16/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/647,540	Applicant(s) NAPHADE ET AL.	
	Examiner ALEX LIEW	Art Unit 2624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 May 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,6-13 and 15-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,6-13 and 15-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

1. The amendment filed on 5/20/08 is entered and made of record.
2. Response to Applicant's Arguments:

On page 11, the applicant stated: "Nicholson does not teach or suggest any descriptor at all, let alone propagating any descriptor to another content granularity without prior regarding that descriptor at the second content granularity." The examiner agrees and withdraws rejection.

In an updated search, the examiner found, Paek (WO 00/28467) and Liu (US pat no 6,970,860), which the combination of both references disclosed the claimed invention. Paek discloses a propagation device that propagates the first descriptor to a second content granularity that is finer than the first content granularity, and wherein the descriptor propagation device propagates the first descriptor without prior data regarding the first descriptor (see figures 1b, 'photo,' which is a descriptor, is the first content granularity and 'person A' and 'person B,' which are also descriptors, are the second content granularities, the arrows pointing from '03' to '01' and '02' are read as propagation, in figures 1a, the descriptor are labeled without using a hierarchy, which reads on 'without prior data', hierarchy is not use until figure 1b). Paek does not disclose a descriptor acceptance device that accepts a first descriptor associated with a first content granularity. Liu discloses a descriptor acceptance device that accepts a first descriptor associated with a first content granularity (figure 2, element 158, accepts descriptors and figure 7 shows steps of how images are annotated, S706, S708, there are a hierarchy, column 6, lines 30-37, the first level of granularity is read as the first

Art Unit: 2624

granularity). One skilled in the art would include a descriptor acceptance device because to be able to accept and also modify descriptors that are already in the image content, to provide more accurate description of the image content (see Liu abstract).

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because in the preambles “a signal-bearing medium tangibly” of the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

3. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 21-23 are drawn to functional descriptive material NOT claimed as residing on a computer readable medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2624

2. Claims 1, 3, 8, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paek '467 in view of Liu '860.

With regards to claim 1, Paek discloses a propagation device that propagates the first descriptor to a second content granularity that is finer than the first content granularity, and wherein the descriptor propagation device propagates the first descriptor without prior data regarding the first descriptor (see figures 1b, 'photo,' which is a descriptor, is the first content granularity and 'person A' and 'person B,' which are also descriptors, are the second content granularities, the arrows pointing from '03' to '01' and '02' are read as propagation, in figures 1a, the descriptor are labeled without using a hierarchy, which reads on 'without prior data', hierarchy is not use until figure 1b). Paek does not disclose a descriptor acceptance device that accepts a first descriptor associated with a first content granularity. Liu discloses a descriptor acceptance device that accepts a first descriptor associated with a first content granularity (figure 2, element 158, accepts descriptors and figure 7 shows steps of how images are annotated, S706, S708, there are a hierarchy, column 6, lines 30-37, the first level of granularity is read as the first granularity). One skilled in the art would include a descriptor acceptance device because to be able to accept and also modify descriptors that are already in the image content, to provide more accurate description of the image content (see Liu abstract).

With regards to claim 3, Liu discloses a repository that stores the first descriptor associated with the first content granularity (figure 2, 142).

With regards to claim 8, see the rationale for claim 1.

With regards to claim 24, Paek discloses propagation device processes a video image along with annotations at the first content granularity and propagates the annotations to the second content granularity (see page 28, lines 22-24).

With regards to claim 25, Paek discloses propagation device processes a video image, including a plurality of regions having the second content granularity, along with annotations at the first content granularity and propagates each of the annotations to one of plurality of regions (see page 28, lines 26-29).

3. Claims 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 15-17, 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paek '467 in view of Liu '860 and Sakoe (US pat no 4,479,236).

With regards to claim 4, see the rationale for claim 1. Paek and Liu do not disclose a mapping function. Sakoe discloses mapping/propagation function (see column 4, lines 26 to 37, the similarity measures maps the distance between vectors A and B). One skilled in the art would include a mapping function because to find the pattern in the database best matches the input pattern, which results in accurate matching.

Art Unit: 2624

With regards to claims 2, 9, 20 and 23, see the rationale for claim 4.

With regards to claim 7, see the rationale for claim 3.

With regards to claims 16 and 17, see the rationale for claim 4.

With regards to claims 6 and 15, Sakoe discloses a second mapping function (see figure 5, 18). One skilled in the art would include a second mapping function because to further determine the total difference between two patterns (see abstract of Sakoe).

4. Claims 10-12, 18, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paek '467 in view of Sakoe '236.

With regards to claims 10-12, 18, 21 and 22, see the rationale for claims 1 and 4.

5. Claims 13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paek '467 in view of Sakoe '236 as applied 10 further in view of Liu '860.

With regards to claims 13 and 19, see the rationale for claim 3.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEX LIEW whose telephone number is (571)272-8623 or cell (917)763-1192. The examiner can be reached anytime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (571) 272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matthew C Bella/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2624

Alex Liew
AU2624
8/27/08